

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/479,669	01/10/2000	CORY E. KLATT	4944.85634	3368
7:	590 02/13/2003			
BANNER & WITCOFF LTD			EXAMINER	
1001 G STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 200014597			COBY, F	rantz 9
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2171	
		DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/479,669

Applicant(s)

Klatt et al.

Examiner

Frantz Coby

Art Unit 2171



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet with	the correspondence address		
Period ¹	for Reply					
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	3	MONTH(S) FROM		
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication.	n no event, however, n	nay a reply	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the property of the prope	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within to be riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) the application to beco	MONTHS me ABAND	from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 12, 2</u>	2002		•		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) This ac	tion is non-final				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
	tion of Claims					
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) NONE			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 💢	Claim(s) NONE			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u>			is/are rejected.		
7) 💢	Claim(s) NONE			is/are objected to.		
8) 💢	Claims NONE	are	subjec	t to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) 🗆 accepte	d or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be he	ld in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a)□	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office ac	tion.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some* c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority described application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).			
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
14)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
15)		priority under	35 U.S.	.C. 93 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).						
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 8	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/479,669 Page 2

Art Unit: 2171

This is in response to Applicant's request filed on December 12, 2002.

Claims Status

Claims 1-33 are pending.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/479,669

Art Unit: 2171

2. Claims 1-33 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double

Page 3

patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 of U.S. Patent No. 6,415,277. Although the

conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the

independent claims of the present application, namely claims 1, 18 and 32, replace "a corporate

database" previously presented in the U.S. patent 6,415,277 by "a corporate human resources

database". The addition of the word "human resources" in the presented claims does not prevent

occurrence of producing a printed product in response to changes to a database. Therefore, one

of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to substitute

the corporate database of the U.S. Patent 6,415,277 with a human resources corporate database

because that would have allowed production of printed product be made for specific department

of a corporation. Also, Omission of element and its function in combination is obvious

expedient if remaining elements perform same function as before. In re KARLSON, 136 USPO

184 (CCPA 1963). In this case, the same function of producing a printed product in response to

changes in a database is achieved in both the U.S. Patent 6,415,277 and the present application.

Conclusion

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

7

Application/Control Number: 09/479,669

ŕ

Art Unit: 2171

(703) 305-9051, (for formal communications

intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-5357 (for informal of draft

communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington.

Page 4

VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Frantz Coby whose telephone number is (703) 305-4006. The examiner can

normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

4. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached on (703) 308-14367. The Fax phone number for this

Group is (703) 746-7238; (703) 746-7239; (703) 746-7240.

FRANTZ COBY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

February 12, 2003